Content is avaliable at SCOPUS

Meat Technology — Special Issue 64/2

www.meatcon.rs • www.journalmeattechnology.com



UDK: 636.4.09:616.993

ID: 126500873

https://doi.org/10.18485/meattech.2023.64.2.64

Original scientific paper

Prevalence and main factors for Salmonella spreading in wild boars — a risk for food safety

Jelena Petrović^a, Jovan Mirčeta^b and Jasna Prodanov-Radulović^a

- ^a Scientific Veterinary Institute Novi Sad, Rumenacki put 20, 21000 Novi Sad, Serbia
- ^b JP Vojvodinašume, Preradovićeva 2, 21132 Petrovaradin, Serbia

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Wild boars Salmonella Prevalence Epidemiology Anthropogenic influence

ABSTRACT

Salmonella is not a priority pathogen for wild boar health. However, it poses a hazard for meat safety. This paper presents the results of our multi-year research on the prevalence and epidemiology of Salmonella in hunting grounds in Vojvodina, Serbia. In total, 425 wild boars (25.3% of the total population) were studied. The overall Salmonella prevalence in Vojvodina boars was not high (3.1%) and was quite similar to findings from Spain, Germany and Japan. However, the prevalence in some hunting grounds was very high (13.3–33.3%). The anthropogenic impact is significant, as the prevalence is statistically significantly higher in open hunting grounds where animals have contact with domestic animals and access to animal waste. The pulsotype (PFGE) profiles confirmed a link between isolates from wild pigs and domestic animals. The category of wild boars in which Salmonella was most commonly found was sows older than 36 months and weighing more than 75 kg, which is a direct consequence of their increased need for protein during the lactation period when they exhibit scavenging and cannibalistic behaviour.

The measures taken against *Salmonella* in hunting grounds need to incorporate biosecurity measures that prevent anthropogenic influence. The hygienic and sanitary measures for the control of caught animals should also include enhanced measures when processing risk categories.

1. Introduction

Game meat is a highly biologically valuable foodstuff. It is not consumed often in Serbia, but it is present in the population's diet. Hunting tourism has the greatest commercial importance in our hunting grounds. However, with the opening of plants for the processing and packaging of game meat and increased interest in game farming, the availability of game meat to a wide range of consumers is increasing. Wild boar meat is associated with numerous hazards, such as microbial (*Trichinella*, *Toxoplasma*, *Alaria alata*, *Salmonella*, *Campylobacter*) (*Petrović et al.*, 2018; *Petrović et al.*, 2019;

Petersen et al., 2020; Castillo-Contreras et al., 2022) and chemical hazards (pesticides, heavy metals) (Petrović et al., 2021). Although it is considered that Salmonella is not a priority pathogen in wild pigs, it is certainly a relevant pathogen in the control of game meat safety. Biosecurity measures taken in the hunting grounds and proper hygiene procedures for hunted animals prevent meat contamination (Mirčeta and Petrović, 2020). Since the main source of contamination of wild boar carcasses is faeces, the study of the prevalence of Salmonella in hunting grounds directly indicates the risk for meat safety. Therefore, the aim of this paper was

*Corresponding author: Jelena Petrović, jelena@niv.ns.ac.rs

to present the results of the research on the prevalence and risk factors that lead to the spread of *Sal-monella* in wild boars in the hunting grounds of Vojvodina.

2. Salmonella prevalence in Vojvodina hunting grounds

We studied wild boar carcasses during two hunting seasons in Vojvodina region (*Petrović et al.*, 2022). The hunting grounds Vojvodina are specific in comparison with hunting grounds in mountainous regions. Vojvodina is flat, without major natural obstacles, but near the hunting grounds are human settlements having a population of domestic animals as well as industrial plants. The study included 12 hunting grounds: 10 fenced and two open hunting grounds. The total number of wild boars in all hunting estates during the two year study was estimated at 1,677, out of which 425 wild boars were examined, i.e. 25.3% of the total population. All animals were shot and sampled during official hunts

Salmonella was detected in eight hunting grounds (66.7% of the total number), with the prevalence ranging from 1.7% up to 33.3%. As can be seen in Table 1, where data from around the world are presented, the prevalence of Salmonella in wild pigs is highly variable and ranges from 0% (Denmark) to 43.9% (USA) (Petersen et al., 2021; Cummings et al., 2016). The low prevalence found in Serbia (3.1%) is similar to the prevalence found in Germany, 2.4% (Plaza-Rodríguez et al., 2021), in some studies from Spain (2.9%–3.1%) (Gil Molino et al., 2019; Castillo-Contreras et al., 2022), and in Japan, 5.0% (Sasaki et al., 2013).

3. Risk factors that affect the spread of *Salmonella* in hunting grounds

In the hunting grounds of Vojvodina (*Petrović* et al., 2022), differing prevalences of *Salmonella* were found (Table 2). In half of the hunting grounds a low prevalence was detected (1.5–3.1%). There was no *Salmonella* in four hunting grounds, while

Table 1. Prevalences and serovars of Salmonella in wild boar faeces (Altissimi et al., 2023)

Prevalence	Frequency	Country	Serotype	Reference
3.1%	13/425	Serbia	S. Enteritidis, S. Infantis, S. Typhimurium	Petrović et al., 2022
3.1%	4/130	Spain	S. Typhimurium, S. Bardo, S. Enteritidis	Castillo-Contreras et al., 2022
35.6%	32/90	Italy	S. Abony, S. Newport, S. Agona, S. Derby,	Piras et al., 2021
2.4%	13/562	Germany	S. Typhimurium, S. Enteritidis, S. Stanleyville	Plaza-Rodríguez et al., 2021
0%	0/115	Denmark	/	Petersen et al., 2021
2.98%	25/838	Spain		Gil Molino et al., 2019
43.9%	194/442	USA	S. Montevideo, S. Newport, S. Give	Cummings et al., 2016
1.1%	1/88	Sweden	/	Sannö et al., 2014
5%	2/40	Japan	S. Agona, S. Narashino, S. Enteritidis, S. Havana, S. Infantis, S. Thompson	Sasaki et al., 2013
0.3%	1/333	Spain	S. Bardo, S. Montevideo, S. arizonae, S. Typhimurium	Díaz-Sánchez et al., 2013
10.8%	54/499	Italy	S. Salamae, S. Diarizonae, S. Houtenae, S. Fischerhuette	Zottola et al., 2013
24.82%	326/1313	Italy	S. enterica subsp. enterica	Navarro-Gonzalez et al., 2012
15.4%	33/214	Spain	/	Ranucci et al., 2021

Table 2. Prevalence of Salmonella in each hunting ground (HG) (Petrović et al., 2022)

Hunting ground	No of animals in HG per year	Examined animals (% in HG)	Salmonella positive animals (prevalence, %)
A	180	63 (35.0)	2 (3.2)
В	160	26 (16.3)	0
C	210	59 (28.1)	1 (1.7)
D	82	12 (14.6)	4 (33.3)
E	340	66 (19.4)	1 (1.5)
F	210	57 (27.1)	1 (1.8)
G	150	48 (32.0)	1 (2.1)
Н	220	26 (11.8)	0
I	55	32 (58.2)	1 (3.1)
J	20	10 (50.0)	0
K*	35	15 (21.4)	2 (13.3)
L*	15	11 (73.3)	0
TOTAL	1677	425 (25.3)	13 (3.1)

^{*} K and L are open hunting grounds and all others are fenced areas

in two hunting grounds, a very high prevalence was established (13.3–33.3%). Similar data were reported in Spain, where *Salmonella* was found in only 12% of hunting grounds and the prevalence ranged from 5% to 33% (*Diaz-Sánchez et al.*, 2013). In our research, several epidemiologically significant characteristics of the hunting ground that had a high prevalence (hunting ground K) were observed: this hunting ground is open, i.e. the animals inside move freely to outside the area of the hunting ground; there is a human settlement (a village) in the immediate vicinity and; wild boars were found near the illegal dump.

Open hunting grounds typically have <5 animals/km² and are considered low-density hunting grounds. Statistical analysis of our data proved that the prevalence of *Salmonella* was significantly higher in the open hunting grounds compared to the fenced ones, although some authors believe that the prevalence of pathogens is higher in high-density hunting grounds (*Ortega et al.*, 2020). However, the presence of high-risk factors, such as the illegal dump where the carcasses of domestic animals are dumped as well as the entrails after home slaughter, in this case poultry, has probably led to the spread of *Salmonella* in the low-density hunting grounds.

Salmonella is most commonly found in wild sows older than 36 months and weighing more

than 75 kg, probably as the consequence of the sow behaviour that was already observed in previous studies from Vojvodina (*Prodanov-Radulović et al.*, 2020). Due to offspring and lactation, sows have a greater need for proteins, they often roam searching for food and walk long distances, and often exhibit scavenging behaviour. They feed on carcasses of deer, boars, wild birds, rats and different domestic animals, which contributes to the transmission of pathogens. Other authors also believe that older animals carry pathogens more often, primarily due to longer exposure (*Closa-Sebastià et al.*, 2011).

4. Salmonella epidemiology

In open hunting areas on mountains, usually lacking a relevant domestic pig population, wild boars act as a host for *Salmonella* and some other food borne pathogens (*Mycobacterium*, *Leptospira*, *Erysipelothrix*), maintaining an active infection focus and pathogen circulation (*Cano-Manuel et al.*, 2014; *Prodanov-Radulović et al.*, 2020). However, in flat geographical areas — like Vojvodina — the situation is different. The identical and highly similar pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) profiles found in wild boars and domestic pigs and poultry indicate the existence of molecular and potential epidemiological links. Generally, wild boars could

easily came into contact with domestic animals due to the lowland nature of the Vojvodina terrain. In our studies (*Petrović et al.*, 2019; *Petrović et al.*, 2014), it was concluded that one zoonotic pathogen (*Trichinella spiralis*) in Vojvodina circulates from domestic pigs to wild boars and *vice versa* in the opposite direction. Our conclusions are further supported by studies on wild boars living near human settlements in Spain, where the presence of anthropogenic *Salmonella* and *Campylobacter* spp. in wild boars has been confirmed (*Castillo-Contreras et al.*, 2022).

5. Importance for meat safety

Wild game meat hygiene is specific and differs from that of farmed animals — it primarily relies on the hunting ground management and the training and skills of hunt participants (*Mirčeta and Petrović*, 2020). Critical points in the hunting process and subsequent carcass processing are shooting, evisceration, skinning and cooling, whereas major sources of microbial contamination of carcasses are gut con-

tents and skin/hair of game animals (*Mirceta et al.*, 2017).

6. Conclusion

The overall prevalence of Salmonella in Vojvodina hunting grounds is not high (3.1%). However, there are hunting grounds with a very high prevalence (up to 33.3%), which is a direct consequence of anthropogenic influence. Important risk factors include the following: proximity to human settlements, proximity to farms with terrestrial domestic animals (pigs, poultry, ruminants), and illegally disposed carcasses of domestic animals and waste after home slaughter. Research also points to a high-risk category of wild boar sows older than 36 months and weighing more than 75 kg. Therefore, measures taken to control the presence of Salmonella in Vojvodina's hunting grounds need to include suitable biosecurity measures that prevent potential anthropogenic influence, while hygienic and sanitary measures for control of hunted animals need to incorporate better surveillance in the processing of sows.

Disclosure statement: No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

Funding: This work was funded by Ministry of Science, Technological Development and Innovation of Republic of Serbia by the Contract of implementation and funding of research work of NIV-NS in 2023, Contract No: 451-03-47/2023-01/200031.

References

- Altissimi, C., Noé-Nordberg, C., Ranucci, D. & Paulsen, P. (2023). Presence of foodborne bacteria in wild boar and wild boar meat—a literature survey for the period 2012–2022. *Foods*, 12(8),1689.
- Cano-Manuel, F. J., Lopez-Olvera, J., Fandos, P., Soriguer, R. C., Pérez J. M. & Granados, J. E. (2014). Long-term monitoring of 10 selected pathogens in wild boar (*Sus scrofa*) in Sierra Nevada national park, southern Spain. Veterinary Microbiology, 174(1–2), 148–154.
- Castillo-Contreras, R., Marín, M., López-Olvera, J. R., Ayats, T., Fernandez Aguilar, X., Lavín, S., Mentaberre, G. & Cerdà-Cuéllar, M. (2022). Zoonotic *Campylobacter* spp. and *Salmonella* spp. carried by wild boars in a metropolitan area: Occurrence, antimicrobial susceptibility and public health relevance. *Science of the Total Environment*, 822, 153444. DOI: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.153444
- Closa-Sebastià, F., Casas-Díaz, E., Cuenca, R., Lavín, S., Mentaberre, G. & Marco, I. (2011). Antibodies to selected pathogens in wild boar (*Sus scrofa*) from Catalonia (NE Spain). *European Journal of Wildlife Research*, 57(4), 977–981.
- Cummings, K. J., Rodriguez-Rivera, L. D., Grigar, M. K., Rankin, S. C., Mesenbrink, B. T., Leland, B. R. &

- **Bodenchuk, M. J. (2016).** Prevalence and characterization of *Salmonella* isolated from feral pigs throughout Texas. *Zoonoses and Public Health*, 63, 436–441.
- Díaz-Sánchez, S., Sánchez, S., Herrera-León, S., Porrero, C., Blanco, J., Dahbi, G., Blanco, J. E., Mora, A., Mateo, R. & Hanning, I. (2013). Prevalence of Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli*, *Salmonella* spp. and *Campylobacter* spp. in large game animals intended for consumption: Relationship with management practices and livestock influence. *Veterinary Microbiology*, 163, 274–281.
- Gil Molino, M., García Sánchez, A., Risco Pérez, D., Gonçalves Blanco, P., Quesada Molina, A., Rey Pérez, J., Martín Cano, F. E., Cerrato Horrillo, R. Hermoso-de-Mendoza Salcedo, J.; Fernández Llario, P. (2019). Prevalence of *Salmonella* spp. in tonsils, mandibular lymph nodes and faeces of wild boar from Spain and genetic relationship between isolates. *Transboundary and Emerging Diseases*, 66, 1218–1226.
- Mirceta, J., Petrovic J., Malesevic M., Blagojevic B. & Antic, D. (2017). Assessment of microbial carcass contamination of hunted wild boars. *European Journal of Wildlife Re*search, 63, Article 37, DOI 10.1007/s10344-017-1096-3

- Mirčeta, J. & Petrović, J. (2020). The control methods for improvement of microbial contamination of wild boar meat in Serbia. *Balkan Journal of Wildlife Research*, 5(1), 21–25.
- Navarro-Gonzalez, N., Mentaberre, G., Porrero, C. M., Serrano, E., Mateos, A., López-Martín, J. M., Lavín, S. & Domínguez, L. (2012). Effect of cattle on *Salmonella* carriage, diversity and antimicrobial resistance in free-ranging wild boar (*Sus scrofa*) in Northeastern Spain. *PLoS ONE*, 7, e51614.
- Ortega, N., Fanelli, A., Serranoa, A., Martinez-Carrasco, C., Wscribano, F., Tizzani, P. & Candela, M. (2020). Salmonella seroprevalence in wild boar from Southeast Spain depends on host population density. Research in Veterinary Science, 132, 400–403.
- Petersen, H. H., Takeuchi-Storm, N., Enemark, H. L., Nielsen, S.T., Larsen, G. & Chriél, M. (2020). Surveillance of Important Bacterial and Parasitic Infections in Danish Wild Boars (Sus scrofa). Acta Veterinaria Scandinavica, 62, 41.
- Petrovic, J., Grgic, Z., Pusic, I. & Urosevic, M. (2014). Sylvatic trichinellosis in the Vojvodina region (Serbia), in: Paulsen, P., Bauer, A., Vodnansky, M., Winkelmayer, R., Smulders, F. J. M. (Ed.), Trends in game meat hygiene. Wageningen Academic Publishers, Wageningen, 175–181.
- Petrović, J., Malešević, M., Mirčeta, J., Prodanov-Radulović, J., Ratajac, R., Stojanov, I. & Pušić I. (2018). Consumption of game meat: Risk of infection caused by *Alaria alata*. *Ecologica*, 25(91), 594–598.
- Petrović, J., Grgić, Ž., Prodanov Radulović, J., Ratajac, R., Urošević, M., Pustahija, T. & Medić S. (2019). Epidemiology of human trichinellosis in Vojvodina Province, Serbia, from 2015 to 2016. *Acta Veterinaria Hungarica*, 67(1), 40–50.
- Petrović J., B., Kartalović, J., Mirčeta, J., Prodanov-Radulović, R., Ratajac & Mastanijević, K. (2021). Organochlorine pesticides and NDL-PCBs in wild boars from flatland region with intensive agricultural activities. *Food Additives & Contaminants: part B*, https://doi.org/10.1080/19 393210.2021.1976287

- Petrović, J., Mirčeta, J., Babić, J., Malešević, M., Blagojević, B., Prodanov Radulović, J. & Antić D. (2022). Salmonella in wild boars (Sus scrofa): Characterization and epidemiology. Acta Veterinaria Belgrade, 72(2), 184–194.
- Piras, F., Spanu, V., Siddi, G., Gymoese, P., Spanu, C., Cibin, V., Schjørring, S., De Santis, E. P. L. & Scarano, C. (2021). Whole-genome sequencing analysis of highly prevalent *Salmonella* serovars in wild boars from a national park in Sardinia. *Food Control*, 130, 108247.
- Plaza-Rodríguez, C., Alt, K., Grobbel, M., Hammerl, J. A., Irrgang, A., Szabo, I., Stingl, K., Schuh, E., Wiehle, L. & Pfefferkorn, B. (2021). Wildlife as sentinels of antimicrobial resistance in Germany? Frontiers in Veterinary Science, 7, 627821.
- Prodanov-Radulović, J., Vučićević, I., Polaček, V. & Aleksić-Kovačević, S. (2020). Current swine respiratory diseases morphology in intensive swine production in Serbia. *Acta Veterinaria Belgrade*, 70(1), 1–36.
- Ranucci, D., Roila, R., Onofri, A., Cambiotti, F., Primavilla,
 S., Miraglia, D., Andoni, E., Di Cerbo, A. & Branciari,
 R. (2021). Improving hunted wild boar carcass hygiene:
 Roles of different factors involved in the harvest phase.
 Foods, 10, 1548.
- Sannö, A., Aspán, A., Hestvik, G. & Jacobson, M. (2014).

 Presence of Salmonella spp., Yersinia enterocolitica, Yersinia pseudotuberculosis and Escherichia coli O157:H7 in wild boars. Epidemiology and Infection, 142, 2542–2547.
- Sasaki, Y., Goshima, T., Mori, T., Murakami, M., Haruna, M., Ito, K. & Yamada, Y. (2013). Prevalence and antimicrobial susceptibility of foodborne bacteria in wild boars (*Sus scrofa*) and wild deer (*Cervus nippon*) in Japan. *Foodborne Pathogens and Diseases*, 10, 985–991.
- Zottola, T., Montagnaro, S., Magnapera, C., Sasso, S., De Martino, L., Bragagnolo, A., D'Amici, L., Condoleo, R., Pisanelli, G. & Iovane, G. (2013). Prevalence and antimicrobial susceptibility of Salmonella in European wild boar (Sus scrofa); Latium Region—Italy. Comparative Immunology, Microbiology and Infectious Diseases, 36, 161–168.